



Newham Collegiate Sixth Form Centre

A specialist centre for Science and Mathematics

Centre Policy for Determining Teacher Assessed Grades 2021

Written by:	Mr Matthew Edwards, Deputy Principal
Approved by:	Mr Mouhssin Ismail, Principal & Head of Centre
Date Approved:	19 th April 2021

Contents Page

Detail	Page no.
1. Context and curriculum coverage	3
2. Statement of Intent	3
3. Roles & responsibilities	4
4. Training, support & guidance	5
5. Use of appropriate evidence	5
6. Determining teacher assessed grade	6
7. Internal quality assurance	6
8. Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts	7
9. Access arrangements & special considerations	8
10. Objectivity	8
11. Recording decisions & retention of evidence & data	9
12. Authenticating evidence	9
13. Confidentiality, malpractice & conflict of interest	10
14. Conflict of Interest	11
15. Private candidates	11
16. External quality assurance	11
17. Results	12
18. Appeals	12
19. Appendix 1 - Assessment Setting, Examination Arrangements & Reporting	14
20. Appendix 2 - Standardisation & Moderation Procedures	15
21. Appendix 3 – Subject Access Request (SARs)	16

1. Context and curriculum coverage

The NCS is an academically selective 16-19 Free School Academy that has secured outstanding academic attainment and outcomes since it opened in 2014. Teachers are highly skilled and experienced practitioners who have many years of delivering the A level content. Many of the staff are examiners and routinely mark A-level scripts in the summer as well as attend examiner training and moderation meetings. This information is disseminated to all staff within a department or faculty to ensure marking is and teacher judgments are accurate and robust.

The NCS provides iPads to all students when they start in year 12. These have been used to ensure all students can access synchronous online lessons when school is open and they are self-isolating, when a staff member is self-isolating or the school is in lockdown. As such the NCS was able to cover the entire A-Level curriculum for each subject even when students and staff may have been self-isolating.

2. Statement of Intent

The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to make evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

3. Roles and Responsibilities

Head of Centre

- Our Head of Centre, Mouhssin Ismail, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for Newham Collegiate Sixth Form Centre as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.

Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Department

Our Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Departments will:

- provide training and support to our staff.
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
- ensure that a Head of Department Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.

Teachers

Our teachers will:

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.
- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded.
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer will:

- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.

4. Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

4.1 Training

- Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students. This includes training provided on setting, standardising, moderating and marking internal assessments
- Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.

4.2 Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

- We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.
- We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate.

5. Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: Guidance on grading for teachers.

5.1 Use of evidence

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework) where applicable, even if this has not been fully completed.
- We will use internal tests taken by pupils.
- We will use mock exams taken over the course of study.

5.2 Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
- We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

6. Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.

6.1 Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

We give details here of our centre's approach to awarding teacher assessed grades.

- Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.
- Our teachers will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Head of Department. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared.

7. Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

7.1 Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.

- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process. Where they are the only subject teacher they will be paired with a teacher or examiner from outside the centre for this process.
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:

- Arriving at teacher assessed grades
- Marking of evidence
- Reaching a holistic grading decision
- Applying the use of grading support and documentation.
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre.
 - This will be Anita Lomax, Deputy Principal and Line Manager of relevant subjects (Geography and Religious Studies).
- In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.

8. Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

8.1 Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.
- We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-E at GCE A-Level.
- We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.

9. Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

9.1 Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

- Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and alternative evidence obtained.
- Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.
- We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
- To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#)

9.2 Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

This section gives details of our approach to address disruption or differentiated lost teaching.

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.
- Our curriculum coverage was in full utilising online learning and live lessons during periods of lockdown. Where students missed significant parts of the course then extra support to catch up will be offered.

10. Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

- Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.
- Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:
 - sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
 - how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias); and

- bias in teacher assessed grades.
- To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:
 - unconscious bias can skew judgements;
 - the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
 - teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
 - unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

11. Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

- We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).

12. Authenticating evidence

- Robust mechanisms, which will include externally hired invigilators for internal assessments, vivas for work carried out online and auditing work of a significantly different standard to previous assessments, will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.
- It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations Edexcel, AQA and OCR to support these determinations of authenticity.

13. Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

13.1 Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.
- All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
- Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.

13.2 Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

- Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
- All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.
- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - breaches of internal security;
 - deception;
 - improper assistance to students;
 - failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;
 - over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;
 - allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;
 - centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and
 - failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.
- The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

14. Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Where a staff member has a child in attendance at Newham Collegiate Sixth Form, they will not be involved in the writing, setting or marking of any internal assessments that their child is sitting.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.
- We will also carefully consider the need if to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

15. Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to working with Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades.

- Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
- Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the **JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates** has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

16. External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.

- Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

17. Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of A level results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days.

18. Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.

- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.

Appendix 1 – Assessment Setting, Examination Arrangements & Reporting

The NCS is setting two internal assessments for Year 13 in the first half term of the summer term. Below are further details of how the NCS is ensuring the fairness and robustness of these assessments.

Internal assessments

The NCS will set two internal assessments for Year 13 for each subject to take place in the first half of the summer term, three weeks apart. The time gap is to provide self-isolating students the chance to sit at least one assessment. The purpose of these assessments is to form part of the evidence base for awarding students CAGs.

a) Setting assessment papers - methodology & review

The content of the internal assessments will be agreed between SLT and Learning Leaders with the guidance that:

- Each paper will be no longer than 90 minutes
- Assessments differentiate between the cohort with questions of a range of difficulty, but did not need to assess the whole A-Level
- Revision lists will be shared in the first week after lockdown to give students time to prepare
- The style of questions used should be similar to those for which the students had already been preparing

b) Exam coverage - revision lists and content coverage

- Learning Leaders will send revision lists no later than the first week after returning to teaching in school for each subject that is being assessed.
- Intervention will continue as before and all content to be covered in paper 1 will be taught by the Easter break.
- All content to be covered in paper 2 will be taught by one week before the second assessment window.

c) Administering assessment papers - invigilation

- Insofar as is possible, the NCS will replicate the processes involved for administering external examinations.
- Papers will be kept secure and will only be accessible to the exams officer.
- External invigilators will be employed to invigilate exams and the exams officer will work closely to ensure the smooth-running of the assessments.
- Applications for access arrangements will be made where appropriate and administered in the same way as for an external exam.

d) Reporting results

- CAGs will be reported to students on 10 August 2021 as per the Ofqual Guidance and will not be shared with students before this date. On results day students will be given their CAG, their individual marks for each paper and this policy document. The usual UCAS support for students going through clearing or adjustment will be provided.

Appendix 2 – Standardisation & Moderation Procedures

Standardisation and moderation of internal assessments by departments

Each subject will produce standardisation and moderation protocols to ensure the assessments are fairly and robustly marked and graded. These include

- Random selection of papers for standardisation of mark schemes
- Samples of teachers' marking being checked by Learning Leaders before they proceeded to mark entire sets of questions/papers
- Learning Leaders giving support and guidance to teachers throughout the marking process
- Teachers mark random sets of papers/questions wherever possible, not their own classes
- Teachers consulting with external examiners
- All data entry being checked by at least two teachers and then again by SLT

External moderation by SLT and Head of Centre

SLT will approve and amend the Learning Leaders' standardisation and moderation protocols as appropriate. Where a teacher is the only teacher of their subject they will be partnered with a teacher or examiner from outside the NCS for standardisation and moderation. All CAGs will be checked by SLT to make sure they consider the full range of evidence and that no student is unfairly disadvantaged by the process.

Evidence and process for awarding CAGs

The NCS will only assess students on content taught to them. Teachers will use the full range of evidence available to them and the internal assessments will be set as late in the year as possible to make fair judgements ahead of the CAG submission deadline. Where there is a coursework or controlled assessment element to a subject this will be taken into consideration. The NCS will work with Private Candidates who are going to be sitting their exams at the centre, who will be given an opportunity to take part in the summer term internal assessments.

Equality

SLT will review all CAGs to make sure no student has been discriminated against, including through unconscious bias, because of a protected characteristic or due to their mental well-being.

Appendix 3 – Subject Access Request

Subject Access Requests

Individuals have a right to make a 'subject access request' to gain access to personal information that the Trust holds about them. Further details can be found in points 8 and 9 of the COLAT data protection policy: <https://www.cityacademy.co.uk/filedownload/52B1B72D-CB03-ED86-8A2E5F11BC3352FB.pdf/colat-data-protection-policy--09-july-2020.pdf>

Useful links

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/965005/6747-1_decisions - GQ consultation on awarding grades in 2021.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/965005/6747-1_decisions_-_GQ_consultation_on_awarding_grades_in_2021.pdf)